Measurement of the Casimir Force between Two Spheres
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Complex interaction geometries offer a unique opportunity to modify the strength and sign of the Casimir force. However, measurements have traditionally been limited to sphere-plate or plate-plate configurations. Prior attempts to extend measurements to different geometries relied on either nanofabrication techniques that are limited to only a few materials or slight modifications of the sphere-plate geometry due to alignment difficulties of more intricate configurations. Here, we overcome this obstacle to present measurements of the Casimir force between two gold spheres using an atomic force microscope. Force measurements are alternated with topographical scans in the $x$-$y$ plane to maintain alignment of the two spheres to within approximately 400 nm ($\sim 1\%$ of the sphere radii). Our experimental results are consistent with Lifshitz’s theory using the proximity force approximation (PFA), and corrections to the PFA are bounded using nine sphere-sphere and three sphere-plate measurements with spheres of varying radii.
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In 1948, Hendrik Casimir derived an expression for the force between two uncharged, parallel plates resulting from a modification of the quantum electromagnetic vacuum energy [1]. Yet, only a few measurements have been performed in the original plate-plate configuration due to difficulties in maintaining parallelism [2,3]. Instead, a sphere-plate geometry is typically employed [4–8], and comparison between experiment and theory is performed using the proximity force approximation (PFA) [9] to model curved surfaces as a series of parallel plates. However, this approximation fails for sharp edges [10,11] and is predicted to have perturbative corrections for smooth surfaces [12–14]. In some situations, exact calculations predict repulsion even when the PFA does not [15,16].

Two experimental techniques have emerged to extend Casimir force measurements beyond the sphere-plate and plate-plate geometries. The first begins with a sphere-plate geometry and textures one or both surfaces so that the alignment advantages of the sphere-plate configuration are maintained while effects beyond the PFA are probed [17–19]. The second involves fabricating two interacting surfaces out of a single crystal to ensure the alignment of the surfaces [20,21]; however, measurements are limited to materials for which sufficient fabrication techniques exist. Geometries such as the needle-and-hole [15] and sphere-sphere [22–25] [Fig. 1(a)] require in situ alignment, making detection difficult. Recent experiments have probed the van der Waals force between latex spheres in liquid by aligning the spheres using their optical interference pattern [26,27], but the metallic coating necessary for Casimir force

![FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of the experimental configuration with one gold-coated sphere held directly above another. (b) Topographical scans are used to position the top sphere directly above the bottom sphere (scan speed: 10 $\mu$m/s, 64 $\times$ 64 pixels). (c) Spatial derivative of the force measured between two spheres as a function of separation. During the measurement, the hydrodynamic force (normalized by the shake amplitude) is separated from the spatial derivative of the Casimir force through the phase of the force signal. All the individual measurements (light dots) are shown ($\approx 20,000$ points). The force gradients and separations of individual measurements are binned into groups of $\approx 200$ points and averaged (dark squares). The inset shows the cantilever’s response to the Casimir (red) and hydrodynamic (blue) forces. These data are collected with the spheres shown in (a) and (d) of Fig. 3.](https://example.com/fig1.png)
measurements prevents optical alignment using that technique.

Here, we present measurements of the Casimir force between two gold-coated hollow glass spheres using an in situ scanning technique to maintain alignment of the spheres’ centers to within ∼1% of their radii over 24 hours of continuous measurement. Because the experiments are performed in air, we determine (and mitigate) both the electrostatic and hydrodynamic forces through a lock-in detection scheme, while tracking the in-phase and quadrature components of the signal. Horizontal alignment between the two spheres is preserved by alternating force measurements with topographical scans. Finally, we put bounds on corrections to the PFA based on a combination of sphere-sphere and sphere-plate measurements corresponding to different radii.

To align the two spheres, we attach one to an AFM cantilever (Mikromasch USA), and it is raster scanned, while oscillating, over a second sphere. A piezoelectric transducer controls the bottom sphere so that the oscillation amplitude of the cantilever, and thus, the separation, is maintained while an image is recorded [Fig. 1(b)]. We perform a fit to the resulting image, which allows for lateral alignment of the two spheres to within 400 nm, or about 0.01 to 0.02 R’, where R’ = (R_1’ + R_2’)^{-1} is the effective radius of the two-sphere system. Misalignment between the two spheres results in three primary effects (see Supplemental Material [28]): (1) the absolute separation of the two spheres can change by up to 1 nm, resulting in a total separation uncertainty of ±3 nm, (2) the effective sensitivity can change by up to ±0.3%, increasing the calibration uncertainty to ±5.3%, and (3) a discrepancy on the order of 0.05 nm may exist for misaligned spheres due to motion of the piezo, which is small enough to be ignored. We use a commercial AFM (Cypher, Asylum Research) for the measurements, and the environment is maintained at 303.15 ± 0.05 K and 15 ± 9% relative humidity.

We measure the spatial derivative of the Casimir force (\(F'_C = [(\partial F_C)/\partial d])\) in an ambient environment utilizing the procedure developed by de Man et al. [7,29]. This process allows us to determine the surface separation and spring constant, while also eliminating hydrodynamic and electrostatic forces from the data channel containing Casimir force. For each sphere-sphere configuration, we collect data at ~400 individual separations (from 4 μm to 30 nm) for each approach and retraction. The measurement is split into several steps, as described below.

We minimize the electrostatic contribution to the total force signal at each sphere-sphere separation through the application of two applied biases. First, an ac voltage, \(V_{ac}\), is applied to the top sphere at a frequency of \(\omega_A/2\pi = 77\) Hz (while the bottom sphere is grounded), which causes the cantilever to oscillate at an angular frequency of \(\omega_A\) and at higher harmonics (e.g., 2\(\omega_A\) and 4\(\omega_A\)). The signal at 2\(\omega_A\) is used by a feedback loop to control the amplitude of \(V_{ac}\) to maintain a constant amplitude set point for the cantilever oscillation. A second voltage, \(V_{dc}\), is applied by an additional feedback loop to the top sphere in order to minimize the cantilever oscillation signal at \(\omega_A\), which, in turn, minimizes the electrostatic force, akin to a Kelvin probe feedback loop [29]. Data are acquired for each sphere-sphere separation.

While the electrostatic interaction is minimized, we determine the spatial derivative of the remaining force (Casimir and hydrodynamic) by oscillating the bottom sphere with an amplitude \(\Delta d\) at frequency \(\omega_{pz}/2\pi = 211\) Hz and observing the response of the cantilever with a lock-in amplifier. The phase of the cantilever’s response is used to separate the hydrodynamic force from \(F'_C\) [29]. The shake amplitude is reduced from 48 to 1 nm on approach, to maximize the sensitivity at large separations, while also minimizing any artifact from the nonlinearity of the Casimir force.

Once the approach and retract run is completed, we determine the absolute separation using the separation-dependent tip-sample capacitance, \(C(d)\) [30]. The capacitance derivative \(C' = [\partial C/\partial d]\), calculated from \(V_{ac}\) and oscillations of the cantilever at 2\(\omega_A\), is fit to the expected sphere-sphere \(C'\) for an entire approach or retract sequence of measurements. While fitting \(C'\) to determine the separation, the bending of the cantilever (< 3 nm) is taken into account, and the modification of the capacitance due to an expected water layer of 1.5 ± 0.75 nm on each surface is included [31]. After the force measurements, the top sphere again approaches and retracts from the bottom sphere, while electrostatic measurements are made with \(V_{ac} = 8\) V to calibrate the optical lever sensitivity and the spring constant from the electrostatic signal at 4\(\omega_A\).
higher frequencies and the Drude model with $\omega_0 = 8.84$ eV and $\gamma = 42$ meV at the lowest frequencies [34]. The AFM images of the surfaces are then used to estimate uncertainty in the roughness correction to $F_{c'}$ [32]. A thin water layer (described above) is expected to increase $F_{c'}$, primarily at small separations [35], which is also taken into account (e.g., at a separation of 50 nm, the water layer increases the force calculation by 5%, but by only 1.6% at 100 nm).

All sphere-sphere measurements (nine different combinations) are presented in Fig. 3, showing the consistency of the measurements. At the shortest separations, roughness causes the force to increase, and at separations beyond 200 nm, stray light interference affects some of the data. Stray light appears as an artifact that is partially periodic with separation and is proportional to $\Delta d$. Even though a superluminescent diode is used to minimize the stray light effect, it is present in some of the sphere-sphere data up to about 0.5 N m$^{-2}$ (although it differs between measurements) and is about half the level of the artifact in the sphere-plate data due to increased reflection off the plate in that configuration. Possible reasons that measurements with the top sphere in Fig. 3(b) show a smaller force at separations <100 nm are that the sphere has a deformity not captured by the roughness measurement [32], or that its slightly smaller spring constant has led to an increase in the separation uncertainty.

The PFA allows $F_{c'}$ to be computed from the force per unit area between parallel plates. However, a more complete theory predicts the presence of deviations from the PFA [13,14]. The largest predicted correction is proportional to $1/R'$. The combination of sphere-sphere and sphere-plate measurements gives effective radii ($R'$) that vary from 13–46 $\mu$m. The wide range of $R'$ values allows the procedure of Krause et al. [6] to be used to put bounds on deviations from the PFA of the form

$$\frac{1}{R'} \frac{\partial F_{pp}}{\partial d} = 2\pi F_{pp} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta' d}{R'} \right) + \cdots,$$

$$\approx (2\pi F_{pp}\beta' d) \left( \frac{1}{R'} \right) + 2\pi F_{pp},$$

$$= m \left( \frac{1}{R'} \right) + b,$$

where $F_{pp}$ is the Casimir force per area between parallel plates, $\beta'$ is a parameter defined in [6] to characterize how the measured $F_{c'}$ differs from the PFA, $m = 2\pi F_{pp}\beta' d$ is the slope of the line fit and $b = 2\pi F_{pp}$ is its intercept.

We combine all twelve measurements to put bounds on corrections to the PFA in the form of $\beta'$. For each measurement, the data are binned at several separations, with bin widths that are 2% of the separation; e.g., one bin is 100 ± 1 nm. All twelve $F_{c'}$ measurements at one separation are then plotted versus $1/R'$ (Fig. 4). We fit a
predicted that $\beta'$ would be independent of separation [13],
and the earliest experimental investigation of $\beta'$ in the
sphere-plate geometry found that $\beta' = 0 \pm 0.4$. However,
recent theoretical work has shown that, for real materials at
finite temperature, $\beta'$ depends on separation and is pre-
dicted to vary between -0.4 and -0.6 in the range explored
in this Letter [14]. To put our bounds on $\beta'$ in a form similar
to Krause et al., we find that $\beta' = -6 \pm 27$ is within the 2$\sigma$
confidence interval of the calculated $\beta'$ at all of the
measured separations.

Stronger bounds on $\beta'$ will be possible by extending the
range of radii used in the measurement. The largest possible
radius that can be used is limited by the ability to separate
the hydrodynamic force from the Casimir force (the former
scales as $R^2$, the latter as $R$). The smallest possible radius
must still be large enough so that the sphere contributes
much more to $F_{C'}$ than the cantilever used to support it. If a
large enough range of radii were used, it would also be
possible to look for higher-order corrections to Eq. (1).
Because the measurement of $\beta'$ is less strongly affected by
systematic errors than direct measurements of $F_{C'}$, it should
facilitate comparison between experiment and theory.

In conclusion, we have measured the Casimir interaction
between two spheres for separations of 30–400 nm, by
combining topographical alignment with $F_{C'}$ measure-
ments. The alignment method can be used to position
any objects that may present interesting geometries for $F_{C'}$
measurements in air. Further, the technique can be adapted
to liquid or vacuum conditions, though care will be
necessary to keep the spheres from contacting one another
when drag is minimal. Once the objects are aligned, any
type of force can be measured: critical Casimir, hydro-
dynamic, magnetic, etc. Finally, by combining measure-
ments from several experimental configurations, we place
limits on corrections to the PFA. Because the experiments
are conducted in ambient conditions, we anticipate that
the results and techniques will be important for incorpo-
rating geometrically controlled Casimir forces into MEMS
devices.

This work was supported in part by the National Science
Foundation under Grant No. PHY-1506047. The authors
also acknowledge the support of the Maryland NanoCenter
and its FabLab.

*Corresponding author.
jmunday@umd.edu

[1] H. Casimir, On the attraction between two perfectly con-
[2] M. Sparnaay, Measurements of attractive forces between flat
ment of the Casimir Force between Parallel Metallic


